

AHLUSSUNNAH

ANSWERING TWENTY TWO ANTI ISLAMIC QUESTION OF YOUTUBE [Revised]

ANSWER TO ANTI ISLAMIC OBJECTIONS

HUSAM

10/1/2017

**ENDLESS LOVE IS AN ANTI ISLAMIC QUESTION MAKER GROUP WHICH HAS
UPLOADED 22 ANTI ISLAMIC QUESTIONS ASSUMING THAT MUSLIMS
CANNOT ANSWER THEM. ANSWERS OF THESE ARE PROVIDED BY THE
GRACE OF AL MIGHTY DIVINE ESSENCE. FOR SERIOUS MINDED PEOPLE WE
MOST HUMBLY BEG TO SAY "PLEASE KEEP CALM AND STUDY THE
ANSWERS" AND "PLEASE KEEP CALM AND PLEASE THINK AGAIN" 1-10-1439
AH , 10-1-2017 CE**



KEEP
CALM
AND LISTEN TO ME
I HAVE THE
ANSWERS

**ANSWERING TWENTY TWO QUESTIONS ASKED BY SOME
ANTI ISLAMIC QUESTION MAKERS ON YOUTUBE**

(Three more questions are Replied from other anti-Islamic Questioners)

There is a video on You Tube which claims that there are twenty two questions which Muslims refuse to Reply. The reason is presented as follow:

“Because they [I,e Muslims] have the Spirit of Anti Christ”.

URL of the Video :

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yZP4Y6_BsUc&t=235s

The video is about 14 min long .

It is uploaded by “[The_Endless_Love_of_Jesus_Ministries](#)”

([They shall be referred as “Endless Love” in short.](#))

It is based on some questions made by Anti Islamic Objection Makers.

But as the questions are based on Fallacious Concepts and Dogmas, they are obviously incorrect and wrong.

Additionally a number of Muslims are not scholars and such questions are often asked , when the grammatical second person is neither a scholar of religious discussions and debates or a student of these/ them..

That is why a Muslim who is neither of the two may get confused. But this is not some thing specific to a Muslim. A person of any Religion who has not studied the Theology and Polemics is likely not to answer questions and objections on his or her religion. But in the case he or she be a student or a scholar of them it is a different case. For example a Missionary may attempt to target a Jew by using some verses of Tanach , but the same Missionary cannot target a Jew or a Jewess who is well informed with these subjects.

There fore it is responsibility of All Muslim Scholars to deal with these questions with full required details and prerequisites .

But before making any advancement it is tried to enlist all the question asked but in different orders and with proper categorization of them. Two more questions are added in this article , which are relative to some of these questions , but not asked, implying that the total number of questions becomes twenty five.

They are written in **Britannic Bold** in **Blue** Colour. While other questions are written in Calibri in Black Colour.

The forms of answers may vary and their colours may also vary. Capitalization of the first letter may be found for several reasons. One of them is Capitalization Of Majesty. Nouns, Verbs, Prepositions ,etc. Pertaining to Divine Essence are often Capitalized in the first letter. Some time Capitalization is used for emphasis on the word.

There are different methods, procedures and ways of answering and plurality of answers is Power not Weakness.

The Questions are divided in to 6 Categories.

FIRST CATEGORY

Question1

If God is all Powerful and has unlimited Powers to do any thing wants , and he is the Creator of All, then why can't he has a Son and Why can't He become a Man?

Question 2

The Qur'a:n says Muh:ammad is not the Word and Spirit of God. The Qur'a:n says Jesus is the Word and Spirit of God, the word is either a Creator or a Creation, either way you look at it , makes Jesus God,since He is Word Of God?

SECOND CATEGORY

Question 3

When were our Scriptures corrupted and why? Did Christians all want to go to hell after glorifying a man.

If Gospels did not say Jesus Christ died on the Cross and appeared alive three days latter , why weren't gospel writers executed, why were they afraid to die. Why did not they admit their greatest lie? Not only the Gospels' writers but all the disciples died painfully?

Question 4

If the Gospel has been corrupted ,wouldn, 'ALL-H Just Tell us to get rid of It and believe in Qur'a:n?

If the Gospel Has been Corrupted why does not 'ALL-H Knowing thing about It?

Question 5

How did the Story telling Christians succeed in changing every single copy of Bible in the world?

Question 6

Qur'an Confirms Gospels, Gospels Confirm Impalement of Jesus and Resurrection of Jesus. But Qur'a:n negateth both of these events. This implies a Contradiction or a Paradox. How can Divine Speech have a Contradiction or a Paradox?

[Questions in red Agency RB font may be considered in a single category].

Question 7

Since Bible Contradicts the Qur'a:n, how can both books be from same God, and How can we worship the same God, when your book says when you kill an infidel (Christian and Jew) you get a one way ticket to Paradise , if we worship the same God?

Question 8

If 'ALL-H revealed the Torah and the Bible before Qur'a:n as a Guidance , and Qur'a:n says that 'ALL-H'S Word could never be changed , did 'ALL-H and Muhammed lie? Since Muslims declare Bible is Corrupted. (6)

THIRD CATEGORY

Question 9

Why does Qur'a:n clearly maintain that Gospel is authorities for Christians if it is not the word of God.

Question 10

When Muh:ammad Was Having doubts His Revelations ,Why did 'Allah Command Muh:ammad to go to the people of Book Jews and Christians for Confirmation , if Bible Was corrupted?

0

Question 11

Why would Jesus Christ come back and condemn his followers to hell when we love him so much?

Question 12

Were the Demons and all the people that Jesus healed lying when the all called Him Son Of God?

Was Peter and the angel Gebriel also lying when they called Him Son Of God?

Was God lying when he said:=

This is my beloved Son , in whom I am Well Pleased.

Were they all lying when they called Jesus Son of God?

Question 13

Why would God deceive people by replacing Jesus by some one else?

Question 14

If the disciples did not claim that Jesus Christ was Son Of God and One With God ,but preached some thing different to what we have in our New Testament today , why were they executed,?

Why were they stoned,crucified upside down, beheaded, skinned alive, dragged through street, boiled in hot oils?

FORTH CATEGORY

Question 15

Why does Qur'a:n order the Killing of Unbelievers , when a person has whole life to accept God?

Why doesn't Qur'a:n concentrate on convincing of these people? Killing unbelievers while they are unbelievers adds an other soldier to the Devils army in the Hell and takes an other potential believer from God.

Question 16

Since Bible Contradicts the Qur'a:n, how can both books be from same God, and How can we worship the same God, when your book saywhen you kill an infidel (Christin and Jew) you get a one way ticket to Paradise , if we worship the same God?

FIFTH CATEGORY

Question 17

Qur'a:n Says Jesus was born of Virgin, which would mean He had no earthly Father, where did his blood came from?

Question 18

Why did God allowed Muh:ammad to have Twenty Wives then commanded that the believing men can have no more than four wives.

Question 19

Why did God Watch Muh:ammad die slowly and painfully before his massage was put into a book?

Question 20

Why didn't God send a replacement to that dinner when Muh:ammad eaten Poison , Why didn't he cause some one else to take the first bite , and warn Muh:ammad not to eat?

Question 21

If the poisoned lamb spoke to Muh:ammad ,why did it spoke too late?

SIXTH CATEGORY

Question 22

How can our Creator delight in so much destruction of His Creation?

Question 23

Why do you think Satan wouldn't try to hide behind the Mask of God to make his plan succeed?

Question 24

How can God be Merciful and Compassionate and command most Merciless punishments on those who haven't found him yet?

QUESTION 25

Why does Muh:ammad Says in Qur'an , the most awful names in 'ALL-H'S Sight in the day of Resurrection is the man calling himself "King of Kings", Who is this man, and why 'ALL-H is scared of him. What will this man do to 'ALL-H on that day?

ANSWERING QUESTION 1

If God is all Powerful and has unlimited Powers to do any thing wants , and he is the Creator of All, then why can't he has a Son and Why can't He become a Man?

Answer

First Preliminary

It is often asked that If Deity 'ALL-H is Omnipotent then he must have the Omnipotence to become a Human Being of Masculine Gender [i.e a man].

This is a question like if Deity Hath Omnipotence He can commit suicide or can become a Female Human Being or an Angelic Being what so ever.

In Islam there is an 'Ijma:' there are Three types of things.

1] Mumkin Bidh Dh:a:t or Per Se Contingent.

It may be called Absolute Contingent

2] Muh:a:l Bidh: Dh:a:t or Per Se Absurd or Per Se Impossible.

It may be called Absolute Absurd or Absolute Impossible.

3] Per Se Necessary or Va:jib Bidh: Dh:a:t

It may be called Absolute Necessary

Deity is Himself Per Se Necessary and Defects, Imperfections and Flaws Upon Deity [Divine Essence] and Divine Essential Attributes are Per Se Absurd.

So any thing that is Per Se Absurd or Per Se Necessary is Not in Divine Omnipotence.

Also Divine Essential Attributes are Not in Omnipotence.

On the other HAND all the Per Se Possible are in Divine Omnipotence.

Divine Omnipotence is Infinite and Absolute since it is Upon Infinite Per Se Contingents with the Necessary Exception of Divine Attributes.

This is the Official Islamic Belief since ages.

To become any thing or to assume a Nature that is Not Eternal is a Defect since any Potentiality to Assume any Non Eternal thing whether it be Essence or Nature or Ousia is Per Se Absurd.

It is as Per Se Absurd as The Creation of An other Deity .

In subcontinent there is a Dispute among Sunni creed whether Deity Hath Power to make a False statement or He Hath it Not.

Khairabadis believe that it is not while Majority of Ahlussunnah believe that it is since it is a Defect Upon AL KALA:M AL LAFZ:I which is Ghairullah and H:a:dith: .

What is the problem with such questioners, is hard to understand.

Some of these Questioners are Athiests and some of them are Christians. It is advise to questioners that they may read Summa Theologica of Aquinas Thomas before attempting to ask such Questions, EVEN IF THEY ARE NOT Catholics.

Even atheists are requested to read this book before making any objection on Islam.

For convenience we are UPLOADING Summa Theologica as a Text book for Study in our Page on Scribd.

Some Questions:

If Deity does not Hath Omnipotence to make a Stone so heavy so that He Himself cannot lift, a thing so unbreakable that He Himself cannot break, a Chamber so opaque that He Himself cannot See in It,

Can Deity Make/Cause A man so pious so that Even Deity cannot make a person more pious than him ,?
Can Deity Make /Create an infinite body?

Can the Omnipotent Deity Hath Power :=

- 1] To become a man or a woman or a semi human being ,
- 2] To become a Non Deity ,
- 3] To make His Own Omnipotence to cease.
- 4] To make His Own Omniscience to cease or to annihilate etc

If not then He can neither Be Omnipotent nor His Power Be Absolute.

This argument is weak and invalid.

It is replied that His Power is upon each and every Per Se Contingent with the Necessary Exclusion of Essential Divine Attributes , and any further Exception is Per Se Absurd.

Pe Se Contingents are Infinites and unbounded . Divine Omnipotence is Absolute in this meaning as confirmed and reconfirmed by Muslim Theologians. Even Jewish and Christian Scholars agree in general.

Christian Scholars may dispute over the problem of Incarnation. But they agree on other issues.

According to Muslims to Become a Male Human Being [Man] is as Per Se Absurd as to Become a Female Human Being OR A Humanoid or a Semi Human Being or an Animal Being or a Human Soul etc.

How ever Divine Omniscience is Upon Every thing whether it be Per Se Contingent or Per Se Necessary or Per Se Absurd .

The word Thing means Per Se Contingent in case of sentence like Deity Hath Power over All Things

And may mean all three types in case of Sentence like Deity Hath Knowledge Of All things.

Preliminary Two

Some one may ask some similar questions to “Endless Love”

In the Question which is asked there are some problems .These may be asked to the Endless love themselves:=

1] If God the Trinity is all Powerful and has unlimited Powers to do any thing wants , and he is the Creator of All, then why can't God the Trinity has a Son and Why can't He become a Man?

2] If God the Son/Word is all Powerful and has unlimited Powers to do any thing wants , and he is the Creator of All, then why can't he has a Son and Why can't He become a Man?

3] If God the Holy Spirit/ Holy Ghost is all Powerful and has unlimited Powers to do any thing wants , and he is the Creator of All, then why can't he has a Son and Why can't He become a Man?

4] If Godhead is all Powerful and has unlimited Powers to do any thing wants , and he is the Creator of All, then why can't he has a Son and Why can't He become a Man?

5] If God the Second Hypostasis is all Powerful and has unlimited Powers to do any thing wants , and he is the Creator of All, then why can't he has a daughter and Why can't He become a Woman?

6] If God is all Powerful and has unlimited Powers to do any thing wants , and he is the Creator of All, then why can't he has two Sons and Why can't He become an Angel?

7] If God is all Powerful and has unlimited Powers to do any thing wants , and he is the Creator of All, then why can't he has a Son and Why can't He marry a woman after becoming a Man?

8] If God is all Powerful and has unlimited Powers to do any thing wants , and he is the Creator of All, then why can't he has a Son and Why can't He become a Zeus ?

9] If God is all Powerful and has unlimited Powers to do any thing wants , and he is the Creator of All, then why can't he has a Son of Son and Why can't He become two or more men simultaneously?

10] If God is all Powerful and has unlimited Powers to do any thing wants , and he is the Creator of All, then why can't he become a Divine Mother and Why can't He become a Nature God ?

11] If God is all Powerful and has unlimited Powers to do any thing wants , and he is the Creator of All, then why couldn't he be Rama or Krishna and Why can't He become Shiva or Vishnu?

12] If God is all Powerful and has unlimited Powers to do any thing He wants , and he is the Creator of All, then why can't he has no Son and Why can't He become all the men on Earth?

In the regard of Omnipotence one may ask some additional questions as follow:

A] If God is all Powerful and has unlimited Powers to do any thing wants , and he is the Creator of All, then why can't he has a Bride of His Son and Why can't He become a Super Human Being?

[A man with Super Human Powers, powers far beyond normal human being like Heracles the Greek Hero].

B] If First and Second Hypostases in Divine Ousia [Godhead/Divinity] are Omnipotent then why can't they dispute on any issue?

C] If God is All Powerful and Has Unlimited Powers to do any thing He wants then why can't He Create a Lower Creator to Create things.

D] If God is All Powerful and All Mighty Being then why can't He cause provide

E] If all things are Possible to Deity/God then impossible are also possible for God/Deity and any thing which is impossible is possible with out any exception implying that an other God/Deity is Possible, an other Son/Word is Possible etc. Since at best it can be proved that an other Divine Son/Word is Impossible in Divine Ousia but as Impossibles are also Possible for Deity/God , if all things are possible for Deity/God implying that it is possible that there be another Son in Divine Ousia beside one Son/Word. Then why not to accept the Possibility of an other Son/Word?

F] Access to Deity/God without Son [if He hath any]??.

PLEASE NOTE : THESE QUESTIONS ARE ONLY FOR THE ENDLESS LOVE. THEY ARE NOT FOR THOSE CHRISTIANS WHO HAVE NO ASSOCIATION WITH "ENDLESS LOVE", SINCE WE KNOW THAT DEITY/GOD CANNOT BE COME MANY THINGS IN AUTHENTIC CATHOLIC THEOLOGY. THESE ARE ONLY FOR THOSE WHO ASK THESE QUESTIONS. SO IT IS APOLOGIZED IN ADVANCE IF IT HURTS FEELINGS OF CHRISTIAN BROTHERS AND

SISTERS. THESE QUESTIONS ARE NOT FOR THEM BUT ONLY FOR THE QUESTIONERS WHO HAVE ASKED THIS QUESTION ON THE BASIS OF DIVINE OMNIPOTENCE.

ANSWERING QUESTION 2

Question :The Qur'a:n says Muh:ammad is not the Word and Spirit of God. The Qur'a:n says Iesous is the Word and Spirit of God, the word is either a Creator or a Creation, either way you look at it , makes Jesus God,since He is Word Of God?

ANSWER

There are several replies to this question . Each answer may be provided by the term Preliminary [Quqadd-mah]

First Preliminary

There are some flaws in the question.

First Flaw: Qur'a:n doeth say that Iesous is Word of Deity, and His Spirit. But Qur'a:n Doeth not say Holy Prophet is Not Spirit and Qur'a:n doeth not say Holy Prophet is not Divine Word.

Qur'a:n is Absolutely Silent. So the question may be corrected.

Second Flaw: If the Word is a Creation then the Word is Not God/Deity since Only Divine Essence is the Deity and Divine Essence is Uncreated.

So there are two basic flaws in the question.

How ever Muslims do not believe that Holy Prophet Muh:ammad is Divine Word , they do not believe that Holy Prophet is Divine Spirit.

Some do argue that if Holy Prophet is not called Divine Word and not called Divine Spirit then he is inferior to one who is called Divine Spirit or Divine Word or both.

But this doeth not make Holy Prophet inferior to any one who is called Divine Spirit or Divine Word. But this is not in the question. So this argument is not a part of the question so it may not be responded, yet some discussions shall be done in other preliminaries /answers of this question.

A CONUNDRUM IN REGARD TO WORDS AND SENTENCES BY DEITY

1] We find some sentences and words spoken by Deity/God in Genesis.

For example : "Let There Be Light", "Let Us Make Man In Our Image" etc. It is asked that as each sentence consists of some words, these Sentences and the Words are Sentences and Words of Deity/God. Now it is most humbly requested that Endless Love may think again , whether these sentences and words in these sentences Deity/God? Are they going to accept several Hypostatic Words in Divine Omnia.? An other question is as follow:=

2] If Jesus is Word of Deity/God, and Bible is also Word of Deity/God then Either Jesus is Bible and Bible is Jesus or Neither Jesus is Bible nor Bible is Jesus. In the first case it means that Divine Word became Bible , in the second case there are two Words in Godhead not just one . If any one of the two is true then who believes in any one of the two believes? Probably no one . If no one then in what meaning Bible is Word of God and the Second Hypostasis is not Word in that meaning?

3] If Logos means Word and is translated as Word in some European languages , then why it is translated in some Asian Languages as Kalam [Speech] instead of Kal-m-h [Word]? Is this an error according to Endless Love?

4) Is Bible God/Deity , since according to Endless Love Word of God/Deity is God/Deity and Bible is Word Of God/Deity?

Consider their question:=

The Qur'a:n says Muh:ammad is not the Word and Spirit of God.

The Qur'a:n says Jesus is the Word and Spirit of God,

the word is either a Creator or a Creation, either way you look at it , makes Jesus God,since He is Word Of God?

Let ask this question with some modifications:=

Does Endless Love believe that NT is word of God/Deity? If Endless Love does believe then

the word of God/Deity is either a Creator or a Creation, either way you look at it , makes NT God, since NT is Word Of God?

DOES Endless Love believes in the Real presence of Logos/Word in the Copies of NT?

Does Endless Question Makers believe that the Second Hypostasis assumed the nature of NT to become NT just as the Second Hypostasis assumed the human nature to become a man [Male Human Being]?

Note : These questions are asked to Endless Love and not to any one else since they themselves ask such weak questions to others. Even if Aquinas Thomas was alive today he must have declared such questions as weak , unsound and fallacious.

Second Preliminary

The terms “Word Of Deity/God” or “Divine Word” are used in two meanings. 1] Real Meaning

2] Virtual /Figurative Meaning.

In regard to Divine Essence the words “Word of Deity” or “Divine Word” taken in Real Meaning means “Spoken Words Of Deity”. But in Virtual meaning it means some thing else.

The virtual meaning may be seen in Summa Theologica Question 34 Reply Objection 4

First the objection is quoted.

Question 34 Article 1 Objection 4

Objection 4: Further, no divine person is made. But the Word of God is something made. For it is said, "Fire, hail, snow, ice, the storms which do His Word" (Ps. 148:8). Therefore the Word is not a personal name in God.

On the contrary, Augustine says (De Trin. vii, 11): "As the Son is related to the Father, so also is the Word to Him Whose Word He is." But the Son is a personal name, since it is said relatively. Therefore so also is Word.

We are only interested in the response of Great Theologian Aquinas Thomas.

Reply to Objection 4: The term "word" is there taken figuratively, as the thing signified or effected by word is called word. For thus creatures are said to do the word of God, as executing any effect, where to they are ordained from the word conceived of the divine wisdom; as anyone is said to do the word of the king when he does the work to which he is appointed by the king's word.

This doeth shew that Aquinas Thomas accepts that the Word in regard to Deity can be used in Virtual meaning. Although this answer is not exactly applicable but this does prove that in Virtual [Figurative or Metaphorical] meaning a Creation may be called a (Divine) Word .

In reply to an other objection Aquinas Thomas accepts the figurative use of the word “Word” for second and Third Hypostases in Divine Ousia:=

Reply to Objection 5: When it is said of the Son, "Bearing all things by the word of His power"; "word" is taken figuratively for the effect of the Word. Hence a gloss says that "word" is here taken to mean command; inasmuch as by the effect of the power of the Word, things are kept in being, as also by the effect of the power of the

Word things are brought into being. Basil speaks widely and figuratively in applying Word to the Holy Ghost; in the sense perhaps that everything that makes a person known may be called his word, and so in that way the Holy Ghost may be called the Son's Word, because He manifests the Son.

Q 34 Article 2 Reply Ob 5

One may say that the Word "Word" in Virtual [Metaphorical or Figurative] meanings may be used for Creations, Created Suppositions. Any effect caused by the Divine Words may be called Word of Deity. For example when Deity said "Let there be Light" the immediate effect was the **Creatio Ex Nihilo of Light**. So occurrence of Light may be termed as Divine Word.

It must be noted that **Summa Theologica** is basically a Catholic Theological Book and cannot be used to explain Muslim theology yet there are many instances that Muslim theology and Catholic Theology do agree with one another. So many Muslims consider Great Aquinas Thomas better than 'Ibn 'Arrusd for defending the Common articles of Faith of all Three 'Abrahamic Religions Judaism, Christianity and 'Isla:m. In this answers of Aquinas Thomas the point is to be noted that the Word "Word" may be used Virtually even in regard to Deity. One it is established that the word "Word" can be used Virtually it can be and may be used for a Created Suppositum.

Similarly the word **Spirit** is used for any Substance or Subsistence that is not material. But figuratively it may be used for any thing which is caused by an immaterial cause. Any thing made by Deity just by commanding it to become "Let there be X "

Where X be any thing may be called spirit Virtually since the Divine Spoken Sentence " Let there be X " consisting of "Divine Words", is immaterial.

X may be material or immaterial being what so ever.

Third Preliminary

ANSWERING QUESTION 3,4,5,6

1] When were our Scriptures corrupted and why? Did Christians all want to go to hell after glorifying a man.

If Gospels did not say Jesus Christ died on the Cross and appeared alive three days latter , why weren't gospel writers executed, why were they afraid to die. Why did not they admit their greatest lie? Not only the Gospels' writers but all the disciples died painfully?

2] If the Gospel has been corrupted ,wouldn, 'ALL-H Just Tell us to get rid of It and believe in Qur'a:n?

If the Gospel Has been Corrupted why does not 'ALL-H Knowing thing about It?

3] How did the Story telling Christians succeed in changing every single copy of Bible in the world?

4] Qur'an Confirms Gospels, Gospels Confirm Impalement of Jesus and Resurrection of Jesus. But Qur'an negateth both of these events. This implies a Contradiction or a Paradox. How can Divine Speech have a Contradiction or a Paradox?

5] Since Bible Contradicts the Qur'an, how can both books be from same God, and How can we worship the same God, when your book says when you kill an infidel (Christian and Jew) you get a one way ticket to Paradise, if we worship the same God?

[For Question 5 see Answering Question 7]

Answer to the question 1

According to Islamic Believers 'Injil [Evangelion] was a book of Divine Messages that was revealed to Jesus and that was Taught and Preached by Jesus Himself during his life on earth. It was conveyed through Oral Traditions before attempts were made to write them. In these attempts to write these messages in book form. In this stage the authors who attempted to do so mixed the biographical events of Jesus with the Messages which he preached and taught producing semi-biographical books. But these books were also given the Title of Evangelion producing four Evangelions instead of one and only one Evangelion. Further while attempting to write down the Evangelion in Oral Traditions, some unauthentic traditions also find their ways and original Evangelion faced a Manipulation. This was necessarily deliberate initially.

So the first Manipulation began when the Evangelion preached and taught by Jesus was attempted to be written from Oral Traditions. One may call it Oral Evangelion.

The word CORRUPTION is hard word. The term which Muslims use is Tahriif. Tahriif means Mutation in the Text of the Original Writer or Speaker. A simple mutation occurs when the original and genuine texts of sentences are changed or mutated. Similarly an other type of Mutation is to change the sentence such that the original meaning is not conserved at it is.

Second Preliminary

Evangelion/Gospel is a book of Divine Messages that was revealed to Jesus [Peace Be Upon Him]. After Jesus this 'Injil was conveyed from one another in Oral Traditions. Years latter when attempts were made to write the Evangelion that was Revealed to Jesus and preached and taught by Jesus Himself during His Ministry on the planet Earth, the writers and authors did not compile the pure Messages as preached and taught as they were, but added several events of Jesus making their works Semi-Biographies. These Semi-biographies were amalgam of Messages, Biographical events of Jesus and the words and sentences of the authors themselves. Additionally they added their personal views and opinions in one form or the other.

The also termed their works as Evengelions/Gospels. Thus four Gospels were produced where as Iesous did taught and preached only one Evengelion/Gospel.

An other cause was that they did not write the authentic traditions.

In the first Jewish War of Independence 66CE MANY EYE WITNESS of Iesous were either killed or dispersed , so it is likely that many portions of Evengelion were lost and cease to exist which were in Oral form. Even before that one cannot be sure with certainty that any Gospel if written used Authentic traditions only. Endless Love them selves accept that many Disciples of Iesous were killed ,by torturous deaths , so this is also a cause for the manipulation in the Evengelion/Gospel in Traditions. So writing them did make deviations from the original words of the Evengelion preached and taught by Iesous Himself.

The Red letter NT in which the words spoken by Iesous are written in red colour i.e the sentences spoken by Iesous are rubricated are probably inspired by Islamic teachings.

Jesus Seminar as publish “Five Gospels” in which four of them are New Testamental and the fifth one is Apocryphal , yet the marvelous thing is that it has written the words, sentences ,massages, aphorisms ,sayings said and uttered by Iesous Himself in different colours. So if some one want to find the portions of the Evengelion preached and taught by Iesous they can only be find those portions of New Testamental Gospels that are uttered by the Holy Mouth of Iesous. However their may be some unauthentic traditions might be ascribed to Iesous by the authors of the New Testamental Gospels.

The Red colour they used for some sentences which are definitely spoken by Iesous. The Pink colour is used for sentences probably spoken by Iesous.

Gray colour is used for some thing which Iesous did not say yet the ideas in them are some what close to what He said.

Black colour is used for sentences ascribed to Iesous due to unauthentic and unreliable traditions and sources of traditions.

So it must be noted that to say that the words of the authors of New Testamental Gospels are the Words of Deity/God based on the belief that entire New Testament is the Word of God/Deity. But if this is not believed then things are different.

Any how What Muslims Believes is not baseless ideas but there are several ground realities to support their views.

Forth Preliminary

There are the following types of Changes in the term Tah:ri:f

1] Change of words.

If Jesus said a word in a sentence yet at least one word was changed, this is a Tah:ri:f.

2] If Jesus said a sentence and another sentence was ascribed to Jesus just after it or just before it , then it is a Tah:ri:f

3] If Jesus said a sentence and some words in it were omitted then it is a Tah:ri:f.

4] If a sentence is spoken by Deity and its translation is ascribed to Deity as Spoken sentence then it is a Tah:ri:f.

5] If positions of words in a sentence are changed then this is a Tah:ri:f.

6] If punctuations are changed such that the meaning is not conserved then this is a Tah:ri:f.

Sixth Preliminary

One may ask If New Testament is Word Of God/Deity then New Testament is an other Hypostasis beside second Hypostasis who is believed to be in Ousia of Deity, implying two distinct hypostatic words and the Trinity is replaced by Tetera-nity. If this is not so then New Testament cannot be the Word of God/Deity.

Seventh Preliminary

One of the problems of the four New Testamental Gospels is that Paul advocated for only one Gospel/Evangelian see: Galatian 1:7-9, 2 Corinthians 11:4.

Yet after his death the word of Christendom did see at least four Gospels/Evangelions.

If these gospels were from One and Same Divinity/Deity all the four copies must have been exactly alike. But no two of them are exactly alike.

These Gospels differ from one an other more than Mosoretic Torah and Samaritan Torah differ from one an other. To place these four Gospels in single NT is like to place two Torahs in OT.

ANSWERING QUESTION 4,5,6

Second Preliminary

It is stated that that Corruption is a hard word. The Word Tah:ri:f means mutation or change in the Text. So there are alternations in the Text of Evangelion while to put in a form of Document. The authors of the New Testamental Gospels did quote some portions of Texts of Evangelion preached by Jesus, but they also added their own words and sentences. For example the sentences of Yohannan like

“In the beginning was the Word. The Word was with God. The Word was God.” Are neither spoken by Deity nor preached by Holy Jesus (“Alaihis Sala:m (Peace Be Upon Him)”). So these verses of Yohannan are the words of author of it.” These words are additions. So to include words of the Author of Yohannan in the words of Divine book is a Tahrif.

Third Preliminary

If the Gospel Has been Corrupted why does not ‘ALL-H’ Know about It?

This is a question which is based on a false claim. The claim is that ‘ALL-H’ doeth not Know about Manipulation in the Text of Evangelion revealed to Jesus (Peace Be Upon Him). This is a false assumption. If a question is based on a false claim assuming that the claim is true then the question is fallacious and a fallacy in itself.

A similar question may be asked to the “Endless Love” as follow:=

If the Gospel Has Not been Corrupted why does not Omniscent God /Deity Knowing thing about It?

Perhaps that fallacious question may convince them that they SHOULD not ask such questions to others , which if asked to them , are declared as Fallacious.

[Whether Gospel is Manipulated or not , it is an other issue but such questions are fallacious irrespective of the questioners who so ever they may be.]

ANSWERING QUESTION 7

Since Bible Contradicts the Qur'a:n, how can both books be from same God, and How can we worship the same God, when your book saywhen you kill an infidel (Christin and Jew) you get a one way ticket to Paradise , if we worship the same God?

Answer:

First Preliminary

Christian Bible consists of two different books of two different languages.

Old Testament is LXX the Greak Translation of Tanakh [Jewish Bible] and New Testament.

Each one of the Two books are in tern consists of several small books or booklets.

In New Testament the Epistles, Acts , Rvelation etc are never considered as Word of Deity by any Muslim. They are the works of some Human Writers. For example Romans is the word of Paul and not of Deity/God , Acts is the word of John Mark and not of Deity/God etc.

Some portions of Evengelion preached by Iesous [Peace Be Upon Him] are found in the New Testamental Evengelions [Gospels]. But in addition to the Evengelion preached by Iesous [PBUH] there are many things never preached by Iesous during his ministry on planet Earth. So it must be noted that Christian Bible is just an invention and it did not even exist in the Time of Ministry of Iesous Himself.

So any thing contradicted in books like Romans [which are epistles] is not to contradict the word of God/Deity.

Second Preliminary

Old Testament also consists of several books and Muslims recognize only two books containing Words/Sentences of Deity/God. Old Testamental Pentateuch and Psalm. Rest of books are the words of their respective authors. Possibility of Divine Words revealed to Moses [Mu:sa:] [Peace Be UponHim] and David [Davu:d] [Peace Be Upon Him] also exists in Talmud and Rabbanic Traditions.

One may ask a similar question , that there are major and minor differences in the Synoptic Gospels of New Testament and the Non Synoptic differ from the Synoptic sisters as if it is a Gospel of an other universe. Since Johannine Gospel is a different Universe. Yet they are from the Same Deity as according to Athanasian Creed.

Third Preliminary

Many Scholars do accept the Jewish claim that Tanakh and NT are so different in their Norms, Dogmas, Believes, Concepts, Nature and Teachings that they cannot be from One and the Same Deity/God. But Athanasians still insist that they are from One and the Same God? How can this be accepted? Wgy the teachings of Pulus and Moses so different?

Conclusion

So the objection that Entire Christian Bible is accepted by Muslims as a Book of Divine Word is incorrect , and any objection based on this assumption about Muslims is fallacious.

ANSWERING QUESTION 8

Question 8

If 'ALL-H revealed the Torah and the Bible before Qur'a:n as a Guidance , and Qur'a:n says that 'ALL-H'S Word could never e changed , did 'ALL-H and Muh:ammad lie? Since Muslims declare Bible is Corrupted. (6)

Answer.

Question

6] If 'ALL-H revealed the Torah and the Bible before Qur'a:n as a Guidance , and Qur'a:n says that 'ALL-H'S Word could never e changed , did 'ALL-H and Muh:ammad lie? Since Muslims declare Bible is Corrupted.

ANSWER

The verses referred in this question are as follow:

1]

The word of thy Lord doeth find its fulfilment in truth and in justice: None can change His words: for He is the one who heareth and knoweth all. (6,115)

2]

And recite (and teach) what has been Revealed to Thee of the Book of thy Lord: none can change His Words, and none wilt thou find as a refuge other than Him. (18,27)

It is argued that :=

These two Verses Of Holy Qur'a: do state that no one can change the words of Allah. The Torah and Gospel were the words of Allah. Since they couldn't have changed that means that Islam testifies to the incorruptibility of the text of the Bible.

The answer may be studied under following Preliminaries.

This objection is answered in a number of different answers. Plurality of answers does not weaken the answers but weakens the objection. So we present a number of answers each sufficiently powerful to refute the objection correctly and really.

First Preliminary.

There is a difference between Bible and Torah and Bible and Evangelion.

As according to 'Islam three of the Books in Previous Scriptures are Known.

1] Torah [Taurah]

2] Psalm [Z-bu:r]

3] Evangelion ['Inji:]

The rest of books are not stated to be Divine Revelation.

Jewish Tanakh is a collection of different books written by different authors in different Periods of Time. Similarly the Christian NT is a collection of different books and booklets written in different periods of Time.

The Christian Bible is a collection of Jewish Tanakh , and NT . They call the Jewish Tanakh as Old Testament , a term strongly condemn by Scholars of Judaism. Catholics include some more books in their OT which are termed as books of Apocrypha by Protestants and JW.

So if this argument is assumed to be correct then this argument cannot be for Entire Christian Bible, whether Protestant Bible or Catholic Bible but only for two books of Christian Old Testament and One Book of Christian New

Testament, since Muslims believe in only one Evangelion and not four New Testamental Gospels. So this argument cannot be used for Textus Receptus of Christian Bible but only for the three books stated above.

So at least books like Romans, Acts etc. do exclude from the argument if assumed to be correct for the sake of argument and debate.

This further shew that in the zeal to prove the credibility of Bible , the Anti Islamic Objection Makers has assumed that Muslims consider Entire Bible as Divine Revelation. But this is wrong and incorrect.

Second Preliminary

The Verses / Sentences Of Qur'a:n stated above do not say that the Divine words in the Divine Books is immutable.

Since any One can change these texts by picking up a book and a pen, and copy them incorrectly with the will to distort their Texts.

These Verses do mean and only mean that no one can Change What Divine Essence Hath Said and Hath Spoken, these words Spoken and Said By Divine Essence are immutable.

No one can force Divine Essence to Change His own words, That He Said. He Said That He Said and what so ever He Said cannot be undone by any one who so ever he may be.

This may be explained as follow:

There are three types of Divine Words.

1] Words which are spoken By Divine Essence.

2] Words which are written by humans , yet writing the Words spoken By Divine Essence.

3] Words Of Divine Essence in the Divine Revelations.

Each type of the words are the words of Divine Essence but there are some difference among these types.

The first type and the second type of the Divine Words cannot be changed. The second type of them can be changed. These words are for the First and Second type.

Either the Divine Spoken Words Sentences are Subsistent and not Accidents. They can Subsist with out any Substratum and any Substance. So any alternation in the written books doth not imply any mutation and any alternation in the Divine Words Spoken By Divine Essence and Revealed By Divine Essence. How ever if it is the case that the Words , Sentences Spoken by Divine Essence Do Subsist in some thing which do not Subsist in any other thing say Substance or Substratum then this means that they neither can be changed nor can be mutated in

their original and genuine Texts and Revelations which is with Allah on "Al-Lauh: al-Mahfu:dh: " (Conserved Tablet) and in the Memories of Angels.

Third Preliminary

It may be the case that the Divine Sentences mean that Any True DECLARATIVE SENTENCE Spoken By Divine Essence is Impossible to be Falsified. This meaneth that If the Divine did Speak a Declarative Positive Sentence "A" or a Declarative Negative Sentence "Not B" then in each case the sentence is True and it cannot be Falsified by any one of the Created Rational Suppositum ,how so ever the Suppositum be Powerful. Similarly in the cases of Creative Imperative Sentences "Let their be Light or Be" they cannot be changed to "Let there be no light or Let Light be not or Do Not Be" etc.

And the Word of Thy Lord hath been fulfilled in truth and in justice. None can alter His Words, and He is the Hearing, the Knowing.

So this verse meaneth that when Divine Essence whenever Maketh a Promise or Maketh a Prophecy, it is certain to occur. Nothing can prevent its occurrence. For example if Divine Essence Saith for a Per Se Contingent Thing " Be " or "Let there Be it" or else then it becometh Impossible that "It Doeth Not Be".

Similarly if Divine Essence Maketh a Prophecy or a Prediction then It is Certain and Necessary that It Occur. It is impossible that the Prophecy or Prediction is not fulfilled.

Forth Preliminary

There is a difference between a Sentence Spoken By Divine Essence and conveyed to Human Beings. It is about the Spoken Sentences By Divine Essence, and not for the case they are conveyed to Human Beings.

In the case if a Divine Sentence Spoken By Divine Essence is conveyed to some one with certainty they cannot find any change in the Text of the Sentence.

In this case it is clear that there is a difference between a Statement /Sentence Ascribed to Divine Essence incorrectly and Mutation in the Divine Spoken Sentence.

Such a difference must be noted and considered .

For example let it be supposed that Divine Essence Said "A is B".

Now this Divine Sentence cannot be changed. But it is possible that some one ascribes a sentence to Divine Essence that the Divine Essence did not Speak and did not say.

So the difference is very clear that to change a Divine Sentence is one thing and to ascribe a sentence not Spoken by Divine to Divine Essence is an other thing. The Verses are speaking the first thing and not the second thing.

Fifth Preliminary

These Sentences mean that there is no change in the Real Torah , Real Psalm and Real Evangelion. Even if some Words and Sentences Spoken by Divine Essence do exist in Talmud they do belong to Real Torah and cannot be changed in the Divine Copies i.e they are copied in the Divine Tablet.

Sixth Preliminary

These two Divine Verses are about the Divine News ,Divine Judgement and Divine Promises are unchangeable and immutable , whether they are conveyed to human beings with certainty or not conveyed to them with certainty.

So it is not the case that only those Divine Promises , News and Judgements are immutable which are conveyed to On generation of Human Beings from previous Generation of Human Beings are Immutable and Unchangeable.

A Possible Objection:

The only way for any human to know what Divine promises ,Divine News and Divine Divine Judgements is through the Written Scriptures .If those Books that contained them are uncorrupted then no one no know with certainty whether the promises ,News, Judgements contained within them are really Spoken by Divine Essence or they are attributed to Divine Essence incorrectly and wrongly.

Answer

It is certainly the case but as it is stated above that these verses are not concern about the conveyance and reception of Divine Sentences with certainty but in the very Divine Sentences themselves independent of their Conveyance and reception. So these verses only say that if Divine Essence Doeth Say a Sentence whether it be a Promise or a Judgement or News then it is cannot be changed and mutated irrespective of the fact that they are conveyed to human being or not with certainty. This means that Ignorance of human beings from Divine Words cannot change or mutate Divine Words. These verses are in regard to the fact and reality that if Divine Essence Speaketh a Declarative Sentence what so ever It be and if No Other Existeth heareth the Sentence Spoken By Divine Essence even then this Sentence is Immutable and Unchangeable. It is like the fact that If no human being believeth in Divine Essence even then Divine Essence is Immutable. An other Example is that if all human beings in the world are some how convinced that Divine Essence is Mutable and Changeable and no one believes that Divine Essence is Immutable and Unchangeable even then Divine Essence Continueth to be Immutable and Unchangeable Necessity.

An example From Genesis.

Genesis recordeth the first Spoken Sentence of Divine Essence.

"Let There Be Light".

Now if it was not written in Genesis even then these words which are in the sentence stated above are immutable.

Even if there is no copy of Genesis in the Entire World even then there can be no change in the Divine Sentence quoted above. Even if It is expunged from Genesis even then it cannot be changed. Similarly Qur'a:n reporteth that Divine Essence did say "Cun/Kun" meaning Be or Exist. So if Divine Essence had not reported this Single Word Sentence in Qur'a:n even then It can not be changed. So these verses are not in regard to their existence in Scriptures but in regard to their own selves irrespective of their writings in scriptures. Similarly Qur'a:n saith that Divine Words are so numerable that even if all of the waters become Ink and all the trees become pens they can not be written, This implies that only a small number of Divine Words exist in Divine Scriptures , rest are Unknown to Human Beings and immutable and unchangeable.

Seventh Preliminary

Some 'Ahlussunnah like Salafites may say that the Spoken words are Uncreated and are Associated With Divine Essence. How ever Majority of 'Ahlussunnah believe that Divine Speech is Associated which is Esoteric Speech and Not Exoteric Speech. The differentiate between Word and Speech. So if the Verses mean Speech or Words or both are unchangeable.

Eighth Preliminary

There are Six Divine Notions according to Muslims.

1] Divine Essence.

Divine Essence is the Very Deity. Deity is Divine Essence and Divine Essence is Deity. Divinity of Deity is the Very Divine Essence . Divine Essence is Per Se Subsistent.

2] Divine Attributes.

3] Divine Nouns

4] Divine Epithets

5] Divine Acts

6] Divine Connections.

In this case it is possible to take the word as Divine Nouns. In this case this means that Divine Nouns are Immutable and Unchangeable.

A Possible Objection

In this case the situation is the same for the Quran as it is for any book of the Bible: If Divine Essence's originally Spoken Sentences cannot be corrupted, but any book on earth can be changed then the Qur'an cannot have any advantage over any Book Of the Bible in regard to mutation, alteration, corruption . If this is the case then this

reply argument also the claim that Holy Qur'a:n is conserved and it cannot be manipulated and that the Qur'an is preserved uniquely because of the promise of Allah in the Qur'an?

ANSWER

This argument is invented by 'Akh:ba:riahs who believe in the corruption of Qur'a:n . But there is an Error in this argument . Muslims ['Ash:a'irah, Maturidiah , Salafiah] do not use these two verses to prove the claim , that Qur'a:n is conserved as according to Divine Promise. So this is an invalid argument.

THE AYAH THE USE FOR THE PERPETUAL CONSERVATION OF HOLY QUR'A:N IS (15,09)

إِنَّا نَحْنُ نَزَّلْنَا الْذِكْرَ وَإِنَّا لَهُ لَحَفِظُونَ

'Inna Nah:nu Nazzalna aldh:dh:ikra Va 'Inna lahu lah:a:fi dh:u:na

Meaning

Verily Indeed We Ourselves revealed this Reminder (The Qur'an); and Verily We shall Preserve It.

There is a consensus among all Muslims that this verse is in regard to the Conservation ,Protection and Preservation Of Holy Qur'a:n on Earth.

In the Qur'an 'All-h is mentioned in the third grammatical person there are always singular pronouns used, such Huwa [He] or Hu [Him]. If 'Allah is spoken to in the second grammatical person there are also singular pronouns, such as Thou, Thine and Thee (Anta, Ka). However only in the first person some times the pronouns instead of I, My or Mine (Ana [I], Iyaya [My], Ya are used and sometimes We, Us and Our Nah:nu [We], Na are used.

These plurals are Plurals of Majesty. When a Pronoun in the form of Plural is used in the meaning of Singular , it is a Plural of Mejesty. It is the virtual meaning. In some verses Plural of Majesty in regard to first grammatical person is used.

Although it is not irregular to use the Plural of Majesty in Pronouns and Verbs but it is less used.

If it is accepted that the verse (18,27) is in regard to Divine words then it is specially in regard to Divine words in Holy Qur'a:n.

And recite that which hath been revealed unto thee of the scripture of thy Lord. There is none who can change His words, and thou wilt find no refuge beside Him.

The Book or Scripture that is revealed to Holy Prophet is Only Qur'a:n. So this verse is in regard to Holy Qur'a:n.

This verse is silent about the Divine Words in other Books and Scriptures.

It is hoped that these are sufficient to prove that the objections are invalid and incorrect.

Conclusion

The 'Inji:l is not completely lost. The Qur'an refereth to the 'Inji:l That was revealed to Iesous [May Divine Peace be Upon Him] as a book. Portions of the Revealed Teachings and Massages Preached by Iesous are in the four New Testamental Gospels and probably few of them may be in Apocryphal Gospels or in some documents not known in present time.

ANSWERING QUESTION 9

Question:

1-Why does Qur'a:n clearly maintain that Gospel is authorities for Christians if it is not the word of God.

Answer.

This question is probably in regard to the following 'A:ya:t [Verses] of Qur':n.

1] (5,47) , 2] (52,27) ,3] (5,68)

1] Let the people of the Injeel (Gospel) judge by what Allah has revealed therein. ... S. 5:47

(57,27)

2] And in their footsteps, **We sent 'I:sa:** (Iesous), son of Maryam (Merium) confirming the Taurah (Torah) that had come before him, **and We gave him the Inji:l** (Evengelion/Gospel), in which **was** guidance and light and confirmation of the Taurat (Torah) that had come before it, a guidance and an admonition for Al-Muttaqun (the pious - see V.2:2). S. 5:46 Al-Hilali & Khan;

3] Say: "People of the Book, you do not stand on anything, until you **perform** the Torah and **the Evengelion** ['Inji:l], and what was sent down to you from your Lord." ... 5:68 .

The Anti Islamic Objection Makers have probably these three verses in mind , when they claimed that Qur'a:n Claimeth that Gospels are authorative to Christains. But the fundamental mistake in this objection is that they wrongly and incorrectly supposed that the word 'Inji:l refers to any one of the Gospel in NT or the collection of three Synoptic Gospels in NT or collection of all four New Testamental Gospels in NT. But this is not the case. Inji:l refers to the Evengelion that was Preached and Taught by Iesous Himself. The same Evengelion is referred in books of NT which were written before the oldest New Testamental Gospel which is now called "Gospel According to Mark/Mercus. The Evengelion is also referred in New Testamental Gospels as well.

These verses of Qur'a:n refer to the very same Evengelion which are referred in Books of NT in General and Gospels in NT in particular.

Mathew 4:23

Matthew 4:23: "and preaching the gospel of the kingdom, and healing"

Mark 1:14: "Galilee, preaching the gospel of the kingdom of God,"

[Evengelion/Gospel/'Inji:l preached and taught by Iesous before the Gospel of Mercus/Mark was written].

Paul refers to this Evengelion/Gospel:

Romans 1:16: "I am not ashamed of the gospel of Christ: for it is the power"

[Gospel /Evengelion before the four New Testamental Gospels because Romans was written before any one of the New Testamental Gospels].

Romans 15:19: "I have fully preached the gospel of Christ."

[Paul claims that he has (Orally) preached the Evegelion/Gospel in entirety].

2 Corinthians 11:7: "I have preached to you the gospel of God freely?"

Now NT and Qur'a:n agree at least on the following issues.

1] Evengelion was Preached by Iesous Himself.

2] After Iesous this Gospel /Evengelion did Exist in some form.

Explanation

After Iesous In the intermediate period between After Iesous and the first New Testamental Gospel was written Evengelion did exist in oral traditions.

This verse must be seen and studied in regard to verse (5,48) and (4,47).

O you who were given the Scripture, believe in what We have sent down [to Muhammad], confirming that which is with you, before We obliterate faces and turn them toward their backs or curse them as We cursed the sabbath-breakers. And ever is the decree of Allah accomplished.(4,47)

A discussion is made on the 'Isla:mic view if Gospels, which may be seen %%%%%%%%%%%%%%.

To repeat this is unnecessary and useless. So those who are interested may see this there.

Surah 5:48 (the the consecutive next 'A:yah refers to the Qur'an as a Muhaymin, which is more of an onlooker or quality or a Tester over the previous scriptures. At the same time, the verse says that everyone must be judged with the Qur'an. This means that 5:47 MUST BE UNDERSTOOD in the context of 5:48 or otherwise to take this mean verse out of context must imply an error in understanding the meaning of 5:47 is actually saying.

The term Evangelical comes from the Greek evangelion, which derives from the term "good news." Or Good Massages.

It must please be noted that the verse under discussion is not calling Christians to adhear to the four New Testamental Gospels and to aband the Qur'an. It is calling towards the 'Inj:i was revealed to Iesous Himself . It must be noted that the books of Mark etc. are the words of their respective authors. They are not the Divine Words revealed to these authors just like in the cases of Epistles which are the words of these epistles writers and not Divine Revealed Sentences ,Words, Massages.

To adhere the 'Inj:i revealed to Iesous is not to abandon Qur'a:n. Since Iesous did not preach any thing Contradicting Qur'a:n. If there is a contradiction it is due to writing the incorrect Evengelion Traditions.

ANSWERING QUESTION 10

Question

When Muh:ammad Was Having doubts His Revelations ,Why did 'Allah Command Muh:ammad to go to the people of Book Jews and Christians for Confirmation , if Bible Was corrupted?

Answer

This question is about the 'A:yah (10,94).

The verse saith:

"And if Thou art in doubt concerning that which We Reveal unto thee, then question those who read the Scripture (that was) before thee. Verily the Truth from thy Lord hath come unto thee. So be not thou of the waverers."

In "Arabic and in Logic the word "IF" does not imply the occurrence of a thing.

In Logic If A then B, where A and B are Simple Propositions , A imples B even if A is False.

If A is False and B is True even then the compound Statement "IF A then B" is True.

In "Arabic An Assertive/Declarative Sentence whether Affirmative or Negative some times imply an Imperative Positive Sentence ['A-MR] or an Imperative Negative Sentence [N-H-Y].

In Symbolic form the may be represented as follow:=

- 1] IF A then Do Act B.
- 2] If C then Do Not Do Act D

In these cases A and C are Not necessary True but the compound sentence is still correct. The compound sentence is an Imperative sentence even if its part is Assertive/ Declarative.

Consider the Sentence

If <Thou Art in Doubt> **then** <Do the act of Asking to those who read the previous Scriptures>.

The part of the compound sentence “If Thou Art In Doubt” or “If Thou Hast Doubts” doeth not imply “Thou Hast Doubts” Thou art in Doubt” since the word “If” is Indispensible part of the sentence. To exclude the Word “If” is to change this part of the sentence from Conditional to Declarative, and this is pure mutation of the sentence “Tah:ri:f” and the Corruption . Dropping the word “IF” implies a Non Qur’anic sentence. One cannot drop a single word of Qur’a:n , since this is a Mutation and Alternation.

This does not imply that Holy Prophet was in doubt since there is the word “If” in the Compound Sentence. So the Sentence is valid even if Holy Prophet was beyond any shadow of doubt and in the light of certainty.

If some Anti Islamic Objection Makers [AIOM] say that the verse states explicitly that the Prophet (peace be upon him) had doubts, he is making a false allegation on the Holy ‘A:yah. If Divine Essence in Qur'an is only saying, "IF YOU HAVE DOUBTS then..." Divine Essence Doeth Not say "Thou Hast doubts" . The verse is saying the sentence with the “Arabic word of Condition “**‘IN**” meaning 'IF' and that is a ultimate difference. It is infinitely fallacious to take the sentence not just out of the context but also by dropping the necessary word in the sentence.

Additionally it must be noted that Qur’a:n doeth not state the Noun Of ‘Inji:l [Evengelion] in the verse. So it may not be said that Qur’a:n confirm ‘Inji:l in the present form.

Two questions may be asked :=

- 1] If Holy Prophet was not in doubt then why Divine Essence Revealed this sentence to Holy Prophet which does not have any application.

[An objection which is based on the presumption that Divine Essence cannot reveal a sentence in Pure Logic Form].

Answer to the question 1.

First of all it is required to know the nature of this question. This question is actually an objection in the form of a Question. This is based on the supposition that " If some one is not in doubt then it is incorrect to say to him "If Thou Art In Doubt" (The first part of the compound sentence.).

But this is a fallacy . This means that although any sentence with the word "If" does not imply the occurrence of any sentence which is conditioned with the word of Condition "If" even then it cannot be used unless and otherwise the person is in doubt. The argument based on this supposition. But this supposition is false hence the argument is incorrect and invalid. So this means that although there is no logical Implication to doubts even then this sentence can only be said if there were doubts. This is a fallacy, This means that a Sentence with out any Logical Implication cannot be said unless and otherwise there are some implications. What sort of implications are they? If it is said they are Logical then it is a pure contradiction and paradox in the claim. This is reduced to the form "Logical Implications to "D" implies no Logical Implications to "D". This claim is actually a contradiction. Since " A does imply B and A does not imply B"

Is a Contradiction. Since "A does not imply D" is the negation of the sentence "A Implies D" and by the Law Of Non Contradiction both cannot be True. If it is claimed that there is no Logical implication but Divine Essence cannot use a Logical Sentence to Holy Prophet then this is a claim which is false and incorrect.

If it is claimed that although there is no Logical implication even then there may be some Physical Implications to Doubts, then it must be noted that:-

If there may be some alleged Material Implications" even then it is not implied that "There Must Be Some Material Implications" . So If there may be some Physical Implication. It is implied that "There may not be any Material Implication " . In this case the argument is based on a contingency and not on Necessity.

So it is incorrect and wrong.

An other case is that it is claimed that "There Must Be Some Physical Implications to Doubts" . If it is claimed then this claim is directly denied and declared as false. It is false that there must be some Physical Implications to Doubts".

How ever one may ask why Divine Essence did speak a Logical Sentence which hath neither any Logical Implications to Doubts nor any Physical Implication to Doubts.

One may say sentences like these:

I] If Thou Art my friend then Assist Me. This does not imply that the friendship is in doubt.

2] If thou art ill then Go to the Physician . This does not imply that the grammatical second person is ill or sick.

3] If thou lovest me then follow me. This does not imply that the Love is in doubt.

It may be noted that a verse may not be about the practical application, i.e applied to the human acts of external parts of human body etc. it may be theoretical or theological or conceptual or else. So the this verse doeth shew that Divine Essence may reveal a sentence in pure Logical Implication so that it can refute any one who can ever say that it is impossible for Divine Essence to reveal a sentence with out any Material or Physical Implication.

In Islamic Theology “Possibility of an Event or Act doeth not Imply the Occurrence of the Act”.

Similarly in Islamic Theology Conditioned Statement does not imply the Occurrence of the Act.

Additionally one may also refer to the Divine Will discussion.

There is no Per Se Absurdity if the Absolute Will/Intention Choseth a pure Logical “If” in the Divine Sentence with out any practical implication but only theoretical and conceptual implications. There be some Divine Wisdom in it.

2] The word ‘IN is some time used in the meaning of since instead of “If”. So is it not the case that this word is used in the meaning of “Since” instead of “If”.

Answer to the question 2.

This is the case of Bad Induction.

If a word is used in a certain meaning in the “Arabic Holy Scriptures” i.e Qur'a:n or 'Ah:adith, it doeth not mean that it is used in this meaning throughout the them.

It Must be noted that the Real and Literal meaning of the “Arabic Word ‘IN is ‘If’.

If the word is taken in the meaning of the word ‘Since’ or There fore’ this is not the meaning of the Word <<‘IN>> . So it cannot be claimed that since the “Arabic Word ‘IN is used in some places in the Divine Text Of Holy Qur'a:n in the meaning of of the word “Since”, therefore it is always used in the Holy Qur'a:n in the meaning of the word “Since”. This is a great fallacy.

An Example from Yohannon 20:23

If you forgive anyone his sins, they are forgiven; if you do not forgive them, they are not forgiven.

If the same method is employed and applied in this verse and the word “IF” is expunged from the said sentence/verse both clause become some what problematic:

You forgive anyone his sins, they are forgiven; you do not forgive them, they are not forgiven.

The meaning is some what distorted . Similarly in the case of the Sentence /Verse from Qur'a:n the problem by dropping the word “IF” causes problems.

If “If Ye Forgive any one” implies “Ye forgive any one” then there cannot be any one who is not forgiven. This distorts the entire meaning of the entire Yohannon 20:23.

IF “if” is excluded then it means that at least some one is forgiven. But this is not the meaning of the sentence. So dropping any word from a sentence such as IF some time does change the meaning of the sentence. A Conditional sentence can be changed to an unconditional sentence, This is a great change.

Any how sometime pure conditional verses are revealed to Holy Prophet with only logical significance , and without any practical significance. This is to develop Logical discussions and to study things logically. There is no verse which says that Pure Logical verses cannot be revealed by Deity .

It is false that” Deity Cannot Reveal some Verses/Sentences which are pure conditional according to Logical “If” with out any practical implication” since such types of Sentences with pure logical if are sentences and they do have Rational Implication. A religion must not be only Practical but Logical, Intellectual, theoretical ,Philosophical ,Theological and Reasonal.

ANSWERING QUESTION 11

Why would Jesus Christ come back and condemn his followers to hell when we love him so much?

ANSWER

First Preliminary

It is written that when Iesous shall come he shall clear all misconceptions in regard to Him and is Teachings. So his followers shall accept his corrections. As for those who in their way loved him yet rejected the True Teachings , are not his true lovers.

If a person does love Iesous yet holds believes contrary to his teachings he is condemned , his love can not save him.Even Iesous Himself shall reject such a love.

Even from the standard of Trinitarians, Unitarians like JW ,Arians are not the followers of Iesous. Even if Arians , JW etc also love Iesous. Let it be asked what Iesous shall do with them in his next coming according to beliefs of Trinitarians? It must be noted that JW, Arians, Saballians etc. also love Iesous very much and their love is not less then the love of "End Less Love". To say that if some one is not a Trinitarian , he cannot love Iesous, is incorrect. To be a Trinitarian is one thing and to love Iesous is another thing. So if some one really love Iesous and holds a belief contrary to His TEACHINGS AND PREACHINGS he is going to be condemn even by Iesous. For sake of the argument it is placed aside what are his teachings and preachings but the basic principle is accepted by Christians and Muslims alike.

Second Preliminary

If one may ask why Muslims shall not be accepted by Iesous according to "End Less Love" when they do love Iesous not less then any follower of NT.

The must believe that Muslims love Iesous very much and consider Him as one of the Mightiest Prophet and one of the Greatest Messenger of Deity/God. So if it is all love then Muslims shall never be condemn by Iesous not in the least sense even if they do not beleave in NT, do not accept Athanasian believes, reject the Dogma Of Trinity of Deity/God , reject Paul as a Orthodox follower of Iesous etc.

But it is well known what is the answer of "End Less Love" in this regard. So why to ask a question whose answer is known to them before asking.

Third Preliminary

Why Deity/God shall not provide any salvation to those who do not believe in the alleged Hypostasis called Word/Son when , many deniers of Hypostasis stated above love Deity/God so much???

Conclusion

Such a question is based upon the supposition which is incorrect hence a fallacious question. If such a question was asked to "End less Lovers" they must have declared the questioners as Ignorants or deliberate fallacy makers.

ANSWERING QUESTION 12

Why were they stoned,crucified upside down, beheaded, skinned alive, dragged through street, boiled in hot oils?

Were the Demons and all the people that Jesus healed lying when the all called Him Son Of God?

Was Peter and the angel Gebriel also lying when they called Him Son Of God?

Was God lying when he said:=

This is my beloved Son , in whom I am Well Pleased.

Were they all lying when they called Jesus Son of God?

ANSWER

First Preliminary

It is incorrectly assumed that Disciples of Jesus were Tortured mere for believing in Impalement ,Divine Sonship, Resurrection and Claims of Divinity. But this is not the case . If disciples were tortured and killed they willingly died for their belief in Jesus and his Messages which he preached and taught, and not for the stated acts . There are two different things.

1] To die for Jesus . 2] To die for the beliefs ascribed to Jesus. The initial Followers were not Pauline Christians and they did not die for Pauline Christianity which did preach that Impalement and resurrection are more important than Jesus and His Teachings which he himself preached and taught, So if there is a Pauline Book then it is not so reliable in regard the causes of such inhuman punishments , The actual cause is the belief in Teachings of Jesus , but not in the alleged Impalement ,Resurrection etc.

Second Preliminary

Demons, Devils and Satans can speak false statements and cannot be trusted in the least meaning/sense.

To say that Peter/Putrus said that Jesus was son of Deity/God is not acceptable, as the reporter of the words of Putrus might not be Witness of the act. He is likely to write some unauthentic tradition which he received . As for as the sentence of Deity/ God is quoted, the question is what is the source of information. It is obvious and Evident that the author was not present when Deity said the alleged sentences. He must have been informed. But who informed him???? There is no evidence that Jesus himself Informed the Said Author. This means the was reported by some one else. But if so then this means that who so ever informed him must be present at the event when the alleged Sentence of Deity were allegedly said by the Deity. But there is no evidence that some one present at the event himself/herself heard the Deity saying this sentence. This implies that this is based on a weak tradition, which some how got mixed with the reliable traditions and the author was unable to differentiate between reliable and strong traditions and weak and unreliable traditions.

Third Preliminary

If it is accepted that the Phrase SON OF GOD/DEITY was really said then it was said only in the Jewish sense/meaning and not in the Athanasian sense/meaning. At that time the only known meaning of the phrase was the Jewish meaning and not the Athanasian Meaning.

The same may be said for the Archangel Gabriel . One may not say that the authors were lying , rather one can say that they wrote untrustworthy traditions.

Forth Preliminary

Jewish Scriptures themself refer to angels as Sons of God in Job 1:6; 2:1; 38:7; .

So if the term is used it is used in the known meaning and not in the unknown meaning.

Disciples of Jesus did not have any idea of Hypostases in Divineous , Issuing of one Hypostasis from another, Hypostatic Union etc. So they cannot understand these terms in the latter emerged meanings. So if they did used these terms they used in the meaning known to them and not in the meanings not known to them.

ANSWERING QUESTION 13

Why would God deceive people by replacing Jesus by some one else?

First Preliminary

The word “deceive” is derived from the Latin word “decipere” which means

Meaning of deceiving := To cause someone to believe something true that is either false or untrue.

An act of deceiving is some thing which is intended to deceive , but if by an act some one himself concludes wrongly this act is not a deceiving act.

So if Divine Essence doeth an act and it some people due to their own error interpret in wrongly or explain it wrongly such an act cannot be termed as Deceiving in real meaning. It can be said Decieving only Virtually [Figuratively or Metaphorically or both].

What Divine Essence Did , was something which could be understood correctly of the opponents of Iesous would have reasoned correctly but they themselves did not attempt understand the Event. So Divine Essence did not deceive them but they themselves deceived themselves.

It is just similar to the act of Transfiguration as stated in New Testamental Gospels.

To become in resemblance of a Prophet is itself a glorification.

Any one if transformed in the likeness of a Prophet is a Glorification of that one.

Second Preliminary

In Yohannon 20 :15 Iesous was found in the disguise of a Gardener, so disguised that even the his disciples were unable to recognize Him.

See:

Jesus says to her, "Woman, why do you weep? Whom do you seek?" Thinking that it is the gardener, she says to Him, "Sir, if you have carried Him off, tell me where you have laid Him, and I will take Him away."

Although Iesous was in disguise he did not want to deceive any one. This means that if some one is transfigured or transformed from his original face to any other face it is not the act of deceiving. How ever people themselves deceives them selves, and this is another thing.

So there is no act of deceiving on the Divine Part but the act of deceiving on the human part.

ANSWERING QUESTION 14

First Preliminary

If the disciples did not claim that Jesus Christ was Son Of God and One With God ,but preached some thing different to what we have in our New Testament today , why were they executed,?

Why were they stoned, crucified upside down, beheaded, skinned alive, dragged through street, boiled in hot oils?

Note : This question is based on the claim that Disciples of Jesus were Executed for two things.

- 1) For believing that Jesus is Divine Son [Son Of God/Deity].
- 2) Ousial Unity of Hypostases.

But it must be noted that no disciple had the slightest idea of believes like Mutually Distinct Hypostases in One Ousia of Supreme Being. Such believes cannot be known to even Scholars of Tanakh of their period , and not all disciples were scholars. So this question is invalid and incorrect. As both assumptions are incorrect the question based on these bases is incorrect and fallacious.

[Also :Please see Answering Question 12]

Note : It is not mentioned in the question who beheaded ,skinned alive, boiled alive dragged disciples , and why?

Was this person who did was the Paul, WHO after conversion from Judaism swing to the extreme Heretical believes after believing in Jesus as the Messiah?

Second Preliminary

During Nazi Regime many Jews were tortured , killed, sent in concentration camps and so on. But they died for Judaism , and not for the Divinity of any human being or Divine Sonship of any human being. This proves that to be killed for religion has nothing to do with the believes in Incarnation and Ousia Hypostases in Divine Ousia.

In the Regime of Dictator Pervez Musharraf many Muslims were killed and tortured ,and were falsely accused to have links with Extremists , but they were normal people belonging to Ahlussunnah Deband and 'Ahlul Hadiyah'. They had no links with Extremists. Yet they were tortured under the orders of the Dictators.

Before Musharraf many people supporting Pakistan People Party were tortured by D'ia'ul Haqq on political basis.

These examples are sufficient to prove that people sometime face extreme tortures for different reasons.

Now a days in Burma many Muslims are being tortured , which is an open secret.

Third Preliminary

It may be the case that some people do not hold an event as a article of faith and died for an other article of faith yet believe in the event as an event but latter both became the articles of faith, and after this some people might die for any one of them or both of them.

ANSWERING QUESTION 15,16

4] Why does Qur'a:n order the Killing of Unbelievers , when a person has whole life to accept God?

Why doesn't Qur'a:n concentrate on convincing of these people? Killing unbelievers while they are unbelievers adds an other soldier to the Devils army in the Hell and takes an other potential believer from God.

5] Since Bible Contradicts the Qur'a:n, how can both books be from same God, and How can we worship the same God, when your book say when you kill an infidel (Christin and Jew) you get a one way ticket to Paradise , if we worship the same God?

[See ANSWERING Question 7 for the answer of this question]

Response

These questions are based on the two verses of Holy Qur'a:n.

1] 9:05

But when the forbidden months are past, then fight and slay the Pagans wherever ye find them, an seize them, beleaguer them, and lie in wait for them in every stratagem (of war); but if they repent, and establish regular prayers and practice regular charity, then open the way for them: for Allah is Oft-forgiving, Most Merciful.

(09,05)

2] 9:14

Fight them, and Allah will punish them by your hands, cover them with shame, help you (to victory) over them, heal the breasts of Believers,

(9,14)

First Preliminary

This question like objections are due to the denouncers of 'Ah:adi:th: who tried to take the Literal Meanings of some verses and reject 'Ah:adi:th: and all Commentaries regardless of their sources.

They accused all Muslims for leaving Qur'a:n. But then taking Qur'a:n independent of Qur'a:n .'Ah:adi:th: and 'Ijma:' do cause serious problems in the meanings of Holy Qur'a:n. Interpretations are some time Necessary. That is the reason Denouncers of 'Ah:adi:th: are declared as Heretics and their Heresy is condemned throughout Muslim World.

Islamic Scholars confirm that it is not possible to understand Holy Qur'a:n just by reading the verses and taking their meaning literally; one has to read the exegesis of Quran or study from an authentic scholar.

This verse is use against Muslims by Anti Islam Objection Makers without proper excogitation.; even if these alleged critics read from the first verse until around the thirteenth verse, it clarifieth some of their doubts and rspondeth some of their questions. Some of them **DO** know the truth but keep quoting these verses out of context just to hide Truth of 'Isla:m.

So it is requested to all Neutral Critics to note the following Preliminaries.

First Preliminary:=

These Verses are not for General Cases but in the Case of War. Muslims were at War with Mushrics [Polytheists] and these verses are in regard to the state of war. It is not in the period of peace. The difference between the Commandments during Wars and During Peace is significant. But neglecting the difference is nothing but to distort the meanings of Holy Qur'a:n. That is why Denouncers of 'Ah:adi:th and those who try to reject all the Commentaries based on the Traditions are declared Heretics through out the Universe of 'Ahlussunnah [Non Barailiah].

As these verses are for a very special War , all the objections based on the supposition that it is a general command become invalid.

All the questions , objections, doubts , criticisms based on the basis of a supposition become invalid, incorrect and wrong if the supposition is wrong and incorrect.

Second Preliminary

According to 'Isla:mic Ideology these verses are specially for the Mushrics of Makkah and "Arab of the particular time. Jews and Christians are not included in these verses. So Qur'a:n is not Commanding to Kill Unbelievers in general. That is an incorrect meaning ascribed to these verses, so the objections become incorrect and wrong.

Third Preliminary

No Muslim is given a one way ticket to heavenly paradise just for killing a Unbeliever. This is once again based on a MISCONCEPTION.

Forth Preliminary

Alleged Army of Satan [Shaita:n] in[Heavenly] Hell is itself controversial idea. Cannot be used as certainty.

Conclusion

Once again it is stated that one must not take these verses independent of Traditional Commentaries and their proper contexts.

ANSWERING QUESTION 17

Iesous [Peace OF Deity/God Be Upon Him]was undoubtly conceived by a Virgin. His conception was a Divine Miracle. His birth was immaculate. The meaning if Immaculate is that His Mother did not commit any transgression and there was so sin involved in the conception. This means that Iesous was with out any Father at all. He Had no father neither Human nor Divine. Now the question is from where his blood comes from? The question in itself not clear. If the questioner is asking in regard to maternal blood then it came from the Holy Mother Of Iesous. If the questioner is asking about the paternal blood then it came in existence by the Divine Miracle. It is Creatio Ex Nihilo.

It is in Divine Power to Create a human being from a woman with out any intervention of any male human being. Divine Essence can Create pregnancy of a female human being, can cause a female human being to conceive with out any contact with any male human being.

ANSWERING QUESTION 18

Why did God allowed Muhammed to have Twenty Wives then commanded that the believing men can have no more than four wives.

ANSWER

First Preliminary

There are several Wisdoms in this permission.

The Political Reason

It must be noted that the best way to unite different segments of “Arabs in that period was to make relations through marriages. So Marrying Women of different tribes contributed in the Unity of the Arabian Tribes.

The Educational Reason

There were many parts of preachings and teachings which could not be taught to women through men. Holy Prophet could taught to His Holy Wives for that Holy Purpose. So he preached and taught many things which were conveyed to others.

The Reason Of Virtue

As Holy Prophet being Prophet of Deity/God , it was a blessing for women to get married to a Prophet. To Marry a Prophet is a Blessing. Holy Prophet must not be regarded as a Non Prophet men but different from them. So to Marry a Prophet is to upgrade the status of individuals of mankind who were not prophet. To become In laws of a Prophet is blessing.

The Reason of Special Creation

Although a Prophet is a Human Being but he Hath several special status, so he is different in regard to Non Prophet Human Beings. A Prophet Hath a very Special Duty to perform. They are the Representatives of Deity/God and Messengers of Deity/God. There duties is not only to Convey Divine Massages but to demonstrate and Praticalize many Divine Massages , to act as a Model in this regard. So they must need companions in some fields where no male human being can assist them. So they some time require to Marry Women for the practicalization of Divine Laws.

Finality Of Holy Prophet and the Divine Purpose

As Holy Prophet is the Last and Final Prophet and after him no human whether of male gender or female gender shall be made a Prophet , the fittest way to provide Women special status was to make some women Wives of Holy Prophet, so that Women get a very special status in the Divine Eyes without disturbing the finality of Prophethood of Holy Prophet.

These women were specially Created for Performing special Divine Purpose and Scheme and Holy Prophet , since they did come in the bound of Marriage due to Divine Will. If they were not specially Created for a Prophet and for a special purpose they could not come in the Marriage.

The Marriage of Holy Prophet with some Divinely Selected Women must not be consider as a Marriage of a Not-Prophet with a Woman, It is some thing very special.

An atheist who neither believes in Deity/God not believes in His Prophet may not like this answer since the Basic Axiom of all the three Abrahamic religions “The Existence

of Supreme Being" is denied by him, but any follower of any one of the three Abrahamic religion Judaism, Christianity and 'Isla:m cannot deny the special status of Prophets and Absolute Right of Deity/God to permit His Representatives some more marriages due to the needs and other reasons.

Those who makes objection either disbelieve in Deity or disbelieve Absolute Divine Right . They believe that even the Absolute Supreme Existent Doethnot have the Right to allow His Prophets to have some more Wives then a Non -Prophet Human Beings.

The number of Wives of Holy Prophets is equal to Twelve or Thirteen. They are considered as Mothers of Faithfulls.

Second Preliminary'

A question may please be asked to the "End Less Love" Why did the Hypostatic Union was not allowed to marry a single woman when Athanasians are allowed to marry a single woman?

ANSWERING QUESTION 19,20,21

Question := Why did God Watch Muh:ammad to die slowly and painfully before His massage was put in a book? 4

Question:= Why didn't God sent a replacement to that dinner, when Muh:ammad had eaten poison , or why didn't He Cause some one else to take the first bite and work Muh:ammad Not to eat it? 5

Question := If the poison lamb spoke to Muh:ammad , Why did it speak too late?

ANSWER

These Question like Objections are based upon the Traditions of Holy Bukh:ari: and Holy Muslim, the Scriptures of H:adi:th:, [It may be noted that once a tradition is written it becomes a Scripture].

These are three Questions belonging to the Why class:=

First Preliminary

These questions require a cause of Divine Will. It is discussed that Divine Will is Beyond All Causes and is Uncaused. So all such questions are Logically and Theologically Fallacies.

The actually do reduce to the following basic form.

What is the Cause of Uncaused Divine Will? Even an Atheist shall accept that this is a fallacious question, not to speak of a theist. Since If a Thing is Uncaused then it has no cause. So to enquire what is the cause of Uncaused is a fallacy. If answered then it is as follow:=

The word why is used to ask for the cause , and where there is no cause, the best answer is to say that Divine Intention/Will is Uncaused hence it is uncaused. So it is incorrect to ask for a cause of an Uncaused .

Principle Discussion On Divine Will which can be used as a General answer against all such "Why" questions of this Category.

Principle Discussion On Divine Will/Intention

ATTRIBUTE OF WILL

The Attribute to Chose a Per Se Contingent to Occur or Not to Chose a Per Se Contingent to Occur is Called Will or Intention.

It is a Communicable Attribute.

In Divine Case It is an Essential and Absolute Attribute of Divine Essence.

Therefore Divine Will/Intention is Absolute [Omnivolence].(1)

CHARACTERSTICS OF DIVINE WILL/INTENTION.

- 1] It is an Essential Attribute.
- 2] It is Absolute.
- 3]It is Eternal.
- 4] Like all Divine Essential Attributes it is Associated With Divine Essence, Communicable to Divine Essence and is Inseparable from Divine Essence.
- 5] It is Unchangeable and Immutable; Since Mutations and Changes are Absolute Impossible Upon/ In It.
- 6] It Cannot have any Cause since It is Absolute Impossible for Divine Will/Intention to have a Cause .
- 7] All the Voluntary Acts of Divine Essence is due to the Divine Will/Intention.

SAINT AQUINAS THOMAS AND DIVINE WILL / INTENTION.

According to Saint Aquinas Thomas the Great Philosopher and the Theologian the following are the basic Characteristics Of Divine Will/Intention / Voluntas:=

1] Divine Essence [Deity] Necessarily Willeth His Own Goodness but things Other than It [id est all Per Se Contingent Things] Not Necessarily.

2] Divine Will can make a choice of any one of the two opposite Contingent things.

There may appear a contradiction between these two claims but Great Aquinas Thomas is correct in his views about Divine Will.

Divine Will/Intention is Unchangeable and Immutable. But it is Free In Its choices. In Created Rational Supposita Freedom of choice is nothing but freedom of changes and mutations in their respective Wills / Intentions. On the Contrary the Freedom Of Choice Doeth Neither Imply any change and Nor Imply any mutation in the Divine Will / Intention. Freedom to chose and Freedom of Choice cannot be confused with the freedom of mutation, freedom of change and freedom to chose changes and mutations in one's own self.

Freedom to chose change in the Will itself is one thing , <Freedom to chose any other thing> Of the Will .With out any Change in the very Will Itself ,is another thing.

The Freedom to Chose any Other Thing Of The Will/Intention . neither imply any change in the Will Itself Nor Imply any Freedom to chose any Change in the Very Will / Intention Itself.

3] Divine Essence by Single Act of Will/Intention Willeth all things in His Goodness.

The Great Philosopher Aquinas Thomas analogues this Characterstic of Divine Will /Intention with Act of Divine Understanding /Knowing. According to the Great Philosopher Divine Essence Understandeth/Knoweth all things In His the Very Essence Itself By a Single Act Of Understanding/Knowing.

The stated above Act of Understanding/Knowing is nothing but the very Attribute of Divine Knowledge Itself.

Similarly the Act of Willing stated above is nothing but the Very Attribute of Will Itself.

If an Act is an Attribute Itself then it is an Attribute and is not included in Voluntary Acts which are not Attributes and are in Divine Voluntus / Will It Self .

4] Divine Will cannot chose Evils and Sins.

According to Respectable Aquinas Thomas , Divine Will Can Chose between Doing a Good Act or Not Doing a Good Act but It Cannot Chose a Bad or an Evil Act. This point of view is like the belief of Khairabadi Cult which believes that Divine Essence Cannot Speak a False Sentence, but Can Speak a True Sentence or Remain Silent From Speaking a True Sentence. It may be noted that there is a difference between Relative Impossible and Absolute Impossible.

An Absolute Impossible is Neither Absolute Possible Nor Absolute Necessary. But a Relative Impossible and the Relative Necessary both are Absolute Possible .

The Great Theologian says that “ **It is Manifestly Impossible for Him [Deity/Divinity] To Will the Evil Of Sin, Yet He [Deity] Can Make Choice of one of the two opposites, since He Can Will to be and Not To Be, in the same way we ourselves with out sin Will to sit down or not to sit down** ”.

(Q-19, Art 10, Reply Ans 2).

It may be noted that Manifestly Impossible is either Relative Impossible [Relatively Impossible] or Absolute Impossible [Absolutely Impossible]. In the first case it is Not in Divine Omnipotence [Power]. Since Neither Absolute Impossible is In Divine Power nor Absolute Necessary is In Divine Power.

But in the second case it is in Divine Power [Omnipotence] . Since every Relative Impossible and Every Relative Necessary is Absolute Possible, and Every Absolute Possible is in Divine Power .

However it is supposed as a good probability that Manifest Impossible [Manifestly Impossible] is Absolute Impossible in the present sentences of Summa Theologica.

5] The Divine Will is Reasonable not in the meaning that It Hath a Cause.

The Great Theologian Aquinas Thomas says “ **The Will Of God Is Reasonable not because any thing is to Will Of God , a Cause of Willing but in so far as He [Deity] Wills one thing to be on another ,according to His Wisdom** ”.

This means that although Divine Essence Hath the Ability to Chose a Possible Which is Unwise to Chose yet The Divine Essence Doeth not Chose any Thing Unwisely but He Choseth Wisely. This implies that To Chose Unwisely [Not According to Divine Wisdom] is Relative Impossible or Relative Incontingent.

But it is Not Possible for Finite Intellects, Non Divine Rationalities and Limited Intelligences to Comprehend Divine Wisdom.

So it cannot be asked what is a Chose of Divine Will. Since such a Cause does not Exist and is Absolute Impossible to Exist. It is as Absolute Impossible as an other Divine Essence beside the only Divine Essence. Some times the finite Intellects, Rationalities and Intelligences consider a Divine act as Irrational or Unwise since the Divine Wisdom is Beyond the finite Domains of them. In such case one must trust in Divine Essence that there must be some Wisdom which Transcendenteth them. In such cases Atheists use such acts in their zeal to prove that Divine Essence Doeth Not Exist [May Divine Essence Forbid for writing the Atheistic Zeal , stated just above.] But such acts cannot disprove Divine Essence's Existence.

Since it is a fallacy. They do confuse some thing against Non Divine Intellects, Intelligences and Rationalities and soe thing which is Beyond them and Transcendent them. But this atheistic argument cannot be used by any one who believes in Divine Essence irrespective of his/her religion what so ever the religion may be.

In this case it is incorrect to ask “Why Divine Essence Willed A , and why Divine Essence did not Will B instead of A ?”

Since the Word Why in the immediately above Interrogative sentence is used for the Cause, and Divine Will of Divine Essence Doeth Not Have any Cause.

If it is apologized that the word Why is used for the Wisdom then it is not necessary that the Divine Wisdom is Known to any Finite Rational Suppositum. Since it is the Case that it be Beyond all Limited Rationalities of All Finite Rational Supposita.

But not knowing the Wisdom does not imply that it is an irrational act.

For any one who does believe in the Divine Essence it is sufficient that if an Act or a Doing is done by the Divine Essence then there Must be Some Wisdom in Choosing it .

However it is not prohibited to suggest or to theorize some Wisdom but they may not be correct and if correct then not correct with certainty and certitude.

These are the very important points .

6] Divine Will Can Choose any Good which is accompanied by some Evils under certain Conditions.

According The Great Theologian Aquinas Thomas "The Evil which accompanies one good is Privation of another good. Never therefore would evil be sought after ,not even accidentally **UNLESS** the good that accompanies the Evil is more desirable than the good of which the evil is the privation."

Aquinas Thomas also says " He (Deity) in no way Wills the Evil of the Sin, which is the Privation of order towards the Divine Good. The Evil Of Natural Defect or of Punishment ,He Does Will by Willing the Good , by which such Evils are attached. Thus by Willing Justice He Wills Punishment."

SOME TERMS AND SOME SENTENCES.

When it is said that Divine Essence Willeth , it meaneth that The Divine Will Choseth. This means that that the act of choosing is an act of Divine Attribute Of Will. But this act is different from the Act that is the very Attribute of Will Itself. So the Freedom of the Divine Attribute is in regard to the Act that is not the very Attribute of the Will / Intention but in regard to the Act that is not the very Attribute of Will. There is no Freedom for Divine Attribute To Be Divine Attribute or Not To Be Divine Attribute. For example there is no Freedom for Divine Essence to be Omnipotent and Not Omnipotent, Alive or Not Alive, Omniscient or Non Omniscient . Similarly Omnipotent or not Omnipotent. There is some theological questions on the claim that Divine Essence is Omnipotent or not. This is in regard to the Problem of Evil. Any how if Divine Will/ Intention .

But the answer of Respectable Aquinas Thomas is a good one.

From the above it can be derived and deduced as follows:=

Any Evil if exists is due to some good to which it accompanies some how , however this act of accompanying is some time cannot be detected by Limited Minds. So a Good in the Company of Evils is still a Good as in the example of Justice and Punishment stated above.

Any How if due to the Existences of Evils it is accepted that Divine Essence is not Omnipotent and that Omnipotence is not a Divine Attribute then the other alternative is that "**Neither the Divine Essence is**

Omnivolent Nor the Omnipotence is the Divine Attribute” and this implieth that Divine Will /Intention is Finite and Limited ,since Evils are Absolute Possible and Absolute Contingent yet they do Exclude Divine Will/Intention. If Finite and Limited then Neither Essential Nor Eternal. (2)

Foot Notes of this Preliminary

(1)

The term Omnipotent is used in the meaning of All Willing . It neither means Omni-Benevolent nor Belevolent. Since Divine Volence is not Finite as Divine Omnipotence is not Finite.

Omni is from the Latin word Omnis meaning “all” or “all ways”.

Bene means Good, Well, In a sense “Not Bad”, Opposite of Evil.

Volent means Willing. The word Voluntas means Will /Intention and the Word Voluntary is derived from Voluntas.

There are two words in use: Omnipotency / Omnipotence and Benevolence/Benevolent.

Omnipotency is the opposite of Omnimalevolent [A new word] , and Benevolent is the opposite of Malevolent. The word Omnimalevolent is inspired by [Euthyphro_dilemma](#).

But by dropping the word Bene two new words “Omnivolence and Omnipotent “ are INVENTED meaning “All Willing” and “All Willer”.

Even if Divine Essence is Not Omnipotent even then the words Omnipotent and Omnipotence may be used for Divine Essence since Divine Will is Greater than all Non Divine Wills even if Divine Will is not Omnipotence in the Proper Meaning of the constituents of this word, say Omni and Potent.

If Divine Essence is Not Omnipotent in the proper meaning as implied from the word Omni and Potent Divine Essence may still be called Omnipotent in some in some figurative meaning in the meaning that Divine Will is Greater than all Non Divine Wills, even if Divine Will is Not Omnipotent in the meaning which must be the proper meaning even if it is a newly formed word.

2 Respectable Aquinas Thomas believes that the Divine Will the Very Per Se Subsistent Essence Of The Deity Ousia or Substance. If he is correct then Divine Will cannot be Finite, since Divine Per Se Subsistent Essence cannot be Finite. Mu'tazilites who believe that Divine Will is Neither Eternal Nor Absolute.

Second Preliminary

The questioner attempt to purport that Holy Prophet died slowly and painfully due to the slow effect of the Poison.

But it is not the case. Divine Essence Caused the Effect of Poison to suspend , how ever it was restored at the time of death of Holy Prophet just to grant him the status of Martyr.

So to say that the poison was acting slowly is incorrect. It was not causing the death of Holy Prophet. How ever it might have caused some pain. The Reason or Wisdom behind this shall be discussed latter.

Third Preliminary.

As it is said that there is no Cause of Eternal Divine Will, yet there may be some Wisdom in the Divine Choices. But Divine Wisdom cannot be comprehend by Human Mind, Intellect, Intelligence etc. One must trust in Divine Essence [Deity/God] that If Deity/God Doeth an Act then there is some Wisdom in it or behind it. But never without wisdom whether the human intelligence can comprehend the Divine Wisdom or Divine Wisdom is Beyond Finite Attributes of Human Mind, Rationality, Wisdom, etc.

Forth Preliminary

Holy Prophet is mere a Human Being ['Al 'Insa:n]. He is among the Best Human Beings. But He is Not a Super Human Being.

He does not possess Super Attributes. He is not Omniscient ["A:lim 'Al Gh:aib , "A:lim"Al Cull],, He is not Omnipresent ['Al H:ad:ir Van Na:z:ir], He is not Omnipotent , He is not OmniVolent ['Al Mukh:ta:r 'Al Cull].

He is not invulnerable to poisons ,injuries as a human being Must Be.

He is simply a Man [R-J-L].

But Divine Essence [Dh:a:tul Ba:ri:] Eternally Knew that there shall be a Heretic Sect who shall believe that:

Holy Prophet is some thing Beyond Human Beings [say Super Natural Light]. His Humanity is only Assumed like a dress is wore by a person.

Holy Prophet is Omniscient.

Holy Prophet is Omnipresent.

Holy Prophet is Omnipotent.

Holy Prophet is Omnivotent.

Holy Prophet is Omni-Authoritative .

Holy Prophet is Omni-Autocratic.

Likeness of Holy Prophet to other human beings is virtual and not real.

He is a human being virtually and an unknown Created Rational Suppostum really.

[Some say He is a Servant/Slave Of Deity in Law [Shar’] and only Divine Essence Knoweth What Holy Prophet is in Reality]

Holy Prophet is Invulnerable to poisons, magics, etc.

All these believes are the worst cases of Heresy.

But Deity provided a refutation of these heretic and unorthodox believes before these heresies even occurred.

When Holy Prophet [S:allalahu “Alaihi Va Sallama] eat the poisonous meat it refuted many heretical believes.

1] Holy Prophet is not Omniscience.

If Holy Prophet was Omniscient then He Must Have Known that the Meat is Poisonous and He cannot eat any thing that is against His **Infallibility**. Since to eat a poisonous eatable substance without neutralizing the poison is against His Infallibility.

2] Holy Prophet is not Omnipresent.

If Holy Prophet was Omnipresent then He Must have witnessed the act of Poisoning the Meat. So as in the case of Omniscience discussed above he must not have eaten it due to the same reason.

3] Holy Prophet is not Omnipotent.

If He is Omnipotent then He must have Neutralized the Poison by His Omnipotence.

4] Holy Prophet is not Omnivotent [Omni –Will/Valuntas]. If Holy Prophet was Omnivotent then He Must have Neutralized the Poison of the meat. For the reason stated in the case of not being Omnipotent.

5] Holy Prophet is not invulnerable to Poisons etc.

If He was so , Poison must have No Effect on Him.

[Not only “Must not have any effect on him but also Must have no Effect on Him (Emphasis)].

6] Holy Prophet is **not** a Super Suppositum.

7] Holy Prophet is not **Omnificent**.

If he was a Super Suppositum he must be invulnerable to Poison what so ever.

But it is not the case.

These are the irrefutable proves of the refutation of the Heresy.

Further this event refutes the claim that Holy Prophet Possessed Cull “Ilm Ma: Ca:na Va Yacu:na ‘Ila: Yaum ‘Al Qiya:mamah” Knowledge of all Events with out any exception from the Beginning of the Creation to the Doomsday”.

This further refutes the Heresies:=

1] That Miracles are in Power of Prophets. Miracles are the Divine Acts and are Only in Divine Power. Heretics claim that they are the Acts of Prophets and are occurred by the Bestowed Powers of Prophets (Bestowed By Divine Essence) just like Human Beings do their regular and normal acts by the Bestowed Powers; bestowed by Deity/God [Divine Essence]. But this is not the case.

2] That A Prophet is Informed By Divine Essence , otherwise with out being informed by Divine Essence A Prophet cannot know many thing which are beyond Five Human Senses. This also refutes the Attribute of Sixth Sense.

3] Effect of Poison when suspended Miraculously can be restored by Divine Powers and Prophets cannot save themselves without the Divine Assistance in Super Normal, Para Normal and Hyper Normal cases.

This is the reason that the meal was not replaced, otherwise such powerful Proofs for the negation and refutation of Future Heresy.

4] If the Divine Essence Had Caused some one else to eat the Poisonous Mutton Meat then such Powerful and Irrefutable Refutation of the Heresies must not have emerged.

5] If the Meat hat spoken at an early state , the consequence of it woud have been in the absence of this Proof.

Now there are two more questions.

1] Why Divine Essence not revealed by Revelation that the Meat is Poisonous. Why Divine Essence Caused the Meat to Speak?

2] How can a piece of meat speak.

The answer is that the question of why is not applicable for Divine Will/Voluntas. To inform is a Voluntary Divine Act and depends upon Divine Voluntas/Will. So it cannot be asked. How ever there is

an other teaching in this act that Information through a Divine Miracle is as Certain as an information through Revelations.

NOTE:=

Holy Prophet is the Last Prophet so any sentence in regard to Attributes and Acts Of Prophets AND Divine Acts in regard to Prophets , in Present tense just stateth a Law or Principle and it cannot be applied to any person after the death of Holy Prophet since no one shall ever be prophetized after Him.

Fifth Preliminary

Holy Prophet did not die from the poison as a poison is supposed to kill a person who some how consumes it but Holy Prophet died some years latter probably four years latter.

Divine Essence protected the Holy Prophet Muhammad from dying as an effect of the poison poison and suspended its effects. But the effect was restored by Divine Essence to effect Holy Prophet so that the Prophet may achieve the status of a martyr, which is an honor .

But this time the cause of the pain was not the poison's natural attribute but the Divine Restoration of the Suspended Effect.

Sixth Preliminary

Miracles are not the acts of Prophets. They are the acts of Divine Essence Himself. How ever they are done either on the request of Prophets or as an Assistance from Deity. A Miracle is a Pure Divine Act and it is beyond the Powers of all Created Rational Supposita. A Miracle shown for Prophets is called Mu"-j-h AND for Saints is called Kara:mah.

There are some difference between they two.

But none of the two types are the acts of any Created Suppositum , and none of the two types are in the [Bestowed /Created] Powers of the Created Supposita. There is no Exception to this rule. That is why if a Miracle is ascribed to any Created Suppositum it is just Figurative or Metaphorical.

Those who believe that Miracles are the Acts of Prophet are indulged in a Heresy and must correct their believes according to Non Heretic Believes.

NOTE:

Holy Prophet is the Last Prophet so any sentence in regard to Attributes and Acts Of Prophets, and Divine Acts in Regard to Prophets in Present tense just stateth a Law or Principle and it cannot be applied to any person after the death of Holy Prophet since no one shall ever be prophetized after Him.

Conclusion

There are several Hidden Wisdoms in these acts. It is not necessary that all the Divine Wisdoms behind an act may be reasoned in a single unit time. It may be the case with the periods of time some wisdom may be reasoned.

Seventh Preliminary

Consider the question given below”

Question := Why did God Watch Muh:ammad to die slowly and painfully before His massage was put in a book? 4

It may be noted that no serious Christian scholar shall like to ask this question.

One may ask a counter question to the askers as the askers have asked in regard to the Gospelic traditions . But it may hurt the feelings of some Christian Brethren , so it is left . When Excogitative answer are available it is not necessary to respond in a Counter Question. It is requested that the question End-Less Love has asked may please be asked to them by themselves in regard to the New Testamental Acts of Impalement , Slow death , Writing of First Synoptic New Testamental Gospel, before asking it to others.

But one may ask when Paul had sufficient time to write letters/epistles to different places and people in length , why did he not write the Gospel which he claimed to preach , which was far more important to write . Why did Deity/God not caused him to write the Gospel and Epistles to John Mark?

But such questions are just to prove that even Endless Love may declare such questions as fallacious and incorrect , so why they ask such questions, if similar to which are asked to them ,even they consider them as incorrect.

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

Such questions are invalid and cannot be taken as serious and sober questions, whether asked to them or to others.

ANSWERING QUESTION 22,23,24

- 1) How can our Creator delight in so much destruction of His Creation?**
- 2) Why do you think Satan wouldn't try to hide behind the Mask of God to make his plan succeed?**
- 3) How can God be Merciful and Compassionate and command most Merciless punishments on those who haven't found him yet?**

First Preliminary

These questions are certainly borrowed from Atheists who ask such questions frequently against all believers in Supreme Being , regardless of the religion of theists and believers in Deity/God.

So such questions if asked by an atheist may be responded , but what if such Atheistic questions are asked from some one who claims to believe in Deity/God. This means that in heart the questioner is an atheist, and if an atheist then certainly not a Christian. These three questions do expose that the "End Less Love" is not Christian , otherwise they must not and cannot ask these atheistic questions .

The first question is borrowed from paradoxes of Epicurus and Euthyphro etc.

Problem of Evil

The problem of Evil is borrowed from Epicurus Paradox which may be stated as follow:

The **problem of evil** says that it is not possible to reconcile the Existence of Evil and an Omnipotent, omniscient, and omnipotent Deity/God.

An **argument from evil** attempts to show that the co-existence of Evil Omnipotent God is Impossible.

Epicurus (341 CE- 270 CE) in his famous paradox stated as follow:

God/Deity , either Willeth to annihilate evils, and is unable; or He Hath the Power to Annihilate Evils, but He Is unwilling; or He is neither willing nor Hath the Power, or He is both willing and able. If He is willing and is unable, He is Weak, which is not in accordance with the character of God; if He is able and unwilling, He is envious malevolent and Not Omnipotent, which is equally at variance with God; if He is neither willing nor able, He is ,Malevolent , Envious and

Not Omnivolent , and therefore not God/deity; if He is both willing and able, then Evils cannot Exist , but the do exist.??

[Omnivolent is used in the meaning of Absolute Willer, and Omnivolence in the meaning of Absolute Will]

So to answer these questions is only necessary when asked by Atheists. If asked by theists it is just to point out that no Christian whether Roman Catholic or Greek Orthodox or Protestant can ask these Atheistic Questions about Holy Divine Existents i.e Deity/ God.

This question is just a modified form of Epicurus' Paradox.

Similarly Euthyphro's Paradox is also related to the Problem of Evil.

The paradox/dilemma does effect on the monotheistic religions in general and Abrahamic Religions in Particular and Philosophical Theism, "Is that is good Commanded /Loved by God/Deity because it is good, or is it good because it is Commanded by God/Deity?"

So such questions if asked by an atheist may be responded , but what is the reason to respond an Abrahamic Religion who cannot ask such a question from a follower of an other Abrahamic Religion. This does shew that the questioner is not even a Christian , since no Christian is likely to ask such Questions to any one whether some one is in Christendom or Out Side It.

Second Preliminary

It appears that the questioner is inspired by the concept of "**Omnimalevolence**".

Since such a question can only be asked by any one who either believes that there is no Deity or if there is a Deity/God the the Deity/God is Omnimalevolent instead of Omni - benevolent .

There is a Video on you tube uploaded by "Learn2Draw", The question "Why do you think Satan wouldn't try to hide behind the Mask of God to make his plan succeed?" is a modified form of the Atheistic Video. Those who are interested to compare the video and the question and the modifications may see the video themselves. URL of this video is given below:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lr75c1cYlbc&pbjreload=10>

Note this Video claims that it is a dangerous video and can harm one's faith but this video is not so dangerous , rather a modified form of normal atheistic questions.

So it cannot harm any one's faith whether he/she be a Jew or a Christian or a Muslim.

Third Preliminary

The question whether the believed God/Deity is not God/Deity but some one else say Satan or a very Powerful Alien etc. is also an Atheistic Question. One again if the questioner was an Atheist, he would have been responded as followers of Abrahamic Religions respond to them.

But a person or some persons who claim to follow any one of the three Abrahamic Religions cannot ask such questions neither to the followers of his/their own religion or to the followers of any other Religion in general and any other Abrahamic Religion in Particular.

Conclusion

So to answer these questions , the questioner must admit that he is either an Atheist or he must confess that these Atheistic questions have made doubts in the Very Existence of Divine Existent , the Supreme , Omnipotent , Omnipotent Existent. Other wise such questioners if more then one are just deceiving the readers and studiers of these as the followers of any one of the three Monotheistic Religions who so ever they may be .

If such questions were asked by some one purporting to be a Muslim , he must have been given the same criticism even by sober and sincere Muslims Theologians unless and otherwise he was himself trying to answer them . as one may see in Summa Theologica of Saint Aquinas Thomas where he quotes objections and then responds to them.

It is hoped that the reason of not answering some atheistic questions in inter Abrahamic Religious Discussions is understood by the readers who so ever they may be.

ANSWERING QUESTION 25

Why does Muhammad Says in Qur'an , the most awful names in 'ALL-H'S Sight in the day of Resurrection is the man calling himself "King of Kings" , Who is this man, and why 'ALL-H is scared of him. What will this man do to 'ALL-H on that day?

First Preliminary

Qur'a:n is not the Speech of Muh:ammad [PBUH], Its Sentences are not the Sentences of Muh:ammad. It is the Divine Speech. Divine Essence Saith in Qu'r'a:n and not the Holy Prophet Muh:ammad. It is strange to see that the Anti Islamic Questions Makers did not thought for a single moment whether Mathew says in the First NT Gospel or Deity/God says in it. If it is Mathew then the Gospel is the word of Mathew and not God/Deity. If it is the Word of God/ Deity then it is not the word of Mathew. If these friends can answer this question then why do the ask such questions , which they know can be answered in the same ways .

Second Preliminary

The term “King of Kings” is an incommunicable Noun of Divine Essence. So use an Incommunicable Noun of Divine Essence for any Created Rational Suppositum is strongly prohibited in ‘Isla:n and is considered as a type of Shirc/Shirc, that is Shirk/Shic Fil ‘Asma:’ Vas: S:ifa:t.

There are two types of Nouns .

1] Which cannot be used for any Created Rational Suppositum, and Can only be used for Divine Essence.

These are the Incommunicable Nouns of Divine Essence. Examples. Creator , Rabbul “A:lam:u:n , Quddu:s, “A:lim Al Gh:aib , Dh:ul J-la:li Val ‘Ikra:m, ‘Ah:kamul H:akimi:n ,Necessary Existents etc.

2] Which may be used for Created Supposita and Divine Essence. Example: Maula: , Seer , Listener, Knower, Speaker , Willer.

So as this Term is an Incommunicable Noun of Deity. Hence if some Mornarch on earth claimed that he is “King of Kings” He Commits a Sin, since he attempts to use a Noun for Himself that is only Specific for the Divine Essence.

It is just like the case if some Monarch calls himself “Deity” He commits a Transgression , Since the Words like Deity, Elohem, Eloah, Elah,’Ilah ,God, Permishver, etc. are not allowed to be used neither by Created Supposita for themselves nor by Created Supposita for other Created Supposita.

Now if a person who resurrects in the Day of Judgement [Qiya:mah] if he is a Monarch and if he has used this Noun for himself on Earth, he shall be punished. It is Possible that such a person may use this Noun for Himself on the Day of Judgement, and this shall still be a Transgression and a Sin. Probebly because he shall consider this Noun appellation as a part of his Long Noun.

Divine Essence Disliketh the use of Incommunicable Nouns for Created Existents.

The word Awful means Disliked. So to dislike some thing eg a Sin is nothing new in the World of Religions.

END

**ANSWERING TWENTY TWO QUESTIONS ASKED BY SOME
ANTI ISLAMIC QUESTION MAKERS ON THE TAWHID**